

Homogeneity of the pure state space of a separable C^* -algebra

Akitaka Kishimoto, Narutaka Ozawa, and Shôichirô Sakai

Abstract

We prove that the pure state space is homogeneous under the action of the automorphism group (or the subgroup of asymptotically inner automorphisms) for all the separable simple C^* -algebras. The first result of this kind was shown by Powers for the UHF algebras some 30 years ago.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 46L40, 46L30

1 Introduction

If A is a C^* -algebra, an automorphism α of A is *asymptotically inner* if there is a continuous family $(u_t)_{t \in [0, \infty)}$ in the group $\mathcal{U}(A)$ of unitaries in A (or $A + \mathbf{C}1$ if A is non-unital) such that $\alpha = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad } u_t$; we denote by $\text{AIInn}(A)$ the group of asymptotically inner automorphisms of A , which is a normal subgroup of the group of approximately inner automorphisms. Note that each $\alpha \in \text{AIInn}(A)$ leaves each (closed two-sided) ideal of A invariant. It is shown, in [11, 1, 3], for a large class of separable C^* -algebras that if ω_1 and ω_2 are pure states of A such that the GNS representations associated with ω_1 and ω_2 have the same kernel, then there is an $\alpha \in \text{AIInn}(A)$ such that $\omega_1 = \omega_2 \alpha$. We shall show in this paper that this is the case for *all* the separable C^* -algebras; formally, denoting by π_ω the GNS representation associated with a state ω , we state:

Theorem 1.1 *Let A be a separable C^* -algebra. If ω_1 and ω_2 are pure states of A such that $\ker \pi_{\omega_1} = \ker \pi_{\omega_2}$, then there is an $\alpha \in \text{AIInn}(A)$ such that $\omega_1 \alpha = \omega_2$.*

In particular the pure state space of a separable simple C^* -algebra A is homogeneous under the action of $\text{AIInn}(A)$. We need the separability for this statement to be true even if we replace $\text{AIInn}(A)$ by the full automorphism group $\text{Aut}(A)$ (see 2.3). But if we instead assume that A is nuclear, the situation is unclear, i.e., we do not know if the pure state space of a non-separable simple nuclear C^* -algebra is homogeneous under the action of $\text{Aut}(A)$ or not. See [2] for some problems on this.

We note here that $\text{AIInn}(A)$ can be considered as a *core* of $\text{Aut}(A)$ whose inner structure is beyond algebraic grasp; $\text{AIInn}(A)$ is characterized as the subgroup of automorphisms

which have the same KK class with the identity automorphism for the class of purely infinite simple separable C^* -algebras classified by Kirchberg and Phillips [7] (see [9] for a similar result for a class of AT algebras).

The proof of the above theorem comprises three observations taken from [3] and [5]. By combining these, the theorem will follow immediately.

The first observation from [3] is that the following property for a C^* -algebra A will imply the above theorem.

Property 1.2 *For any finite subset $\mathcal{F}$ of A , any pure state ω of A with $\pi_\omega(A) \cap \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\omega) = (0)$, and $\epsilon > 0$, there exist a finite subset $\mathcal{G}$ of A and $\delta > 0$ satisfying: If φ is a pure state of A such that π_φ is quasi-equivalent to π_ω , and*

$$|\varphi(x) - \omega(x)| < \delta, \quad x \in \mathcal{G},$$

then there is a continuous path $(u_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ in $\mathcal{U}(A)$ such that $u_0 = 1$, $\varphi = \omega \text{Ad } u_1$, and

$$\|\text{Ad } u_t(x) - x\| < \epsilon, \quad x \in \mathcal{F}, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

In the above statement, $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\omega)$ is the C^* -algebra of compact operators on $\mathcal{H}_\omega$, the Hilbert space for π_ω .

Another observation from [3] is that the following property of A , a kind of weak amenability, implies the above property:

Property 1.3 *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a finite subset of A , π an irreducible representation of A on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, E a finite-dimensional projection on $\mathcal{H}$, and $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists an $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in M_n(A)$ for some n such that $\|xx^*\| \leq 1$, $\pi(xx^*)E = E$, and $\|\text{ad } a \text{Ad } x\| < \epsilon$ for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$, where $\text{Ad } x$ and $\text{ad } a$ denote the linear maps on A defined by $b \mapsto xbx^* = \sum x_i b x_i^*$ and $b \mapsto [a, b]$ respectively.*

Here $M_{mn}(A)$ denotes the $m \times n$ matrices over A .

The final observation, from Haagerup [5], is that this property holds for *all* C^* -algebras, which is shown by repeating, almost verbatim, the proof of 3.1 of [5] employed for verifying the statement that all nuclear C^* -algebras are amenable.

Although those observations are mostly immediate from the cited references if once properly formulated as above, we shall outline the proofs for the reader's convenience: 1.2 implies 1.1 in section 2, 1.3 implies 1.2 in section 3, and Property 1.3 is universal in section 4.

The present method is further exploited in connection with one-parameter automorphism groups [8] and for type III representations [4].

2 Homogeneity

We denote by $\text{AInn}_0(A)$ the set of $\alpha \in \text{AInn}(A)$ which has a continuous family $(u_t)_{t \in [0, \infty)}$ in $\mathcal{U}(A)$ with $u_0 = 1$ and $\alpha = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad } u_t$.

Theorem 2.1 *Let A be a separable C^* -algebra satisfying Property 1.2. If ω_1 and ω_2 are pure states of A such that $\ker \pi_{\omega_1} = \ker \pi_{\omega_2}$, then there is an $\alpha \in \text{AInn}_0(A)$ such that $\omega_1 = \omega_2 \alpha$.*

The following gives a slightly weaker version of Property 1.2.

Lemma 2.2 *Let A be a C^* -algebra with Property 1.2. Then for any finite subset $\mathcal{F}$ of A , any pure state ω of A with $\pi_\omega(A) \cap \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\omega) = (0)$, and $\epsilon > 0$, there exist a finite subset $\mathcal{G}$ of A and $\delta > 0$ satisfying: If φ is a pure state of A such that $\ker \pi_\varphi = \ker \pi_\omega$, and*

$$|\varphi(x) - \omega(x)| < \delta, \quad x \in \mathcal{G},$$

then for any finite subset $\mathcal{F}'$ of A and $\epsilon' > 0$ there is a continuous path $(u_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ in $\mathcal{U}(A)$ such that $u_0 = 1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(x) - \omega \text{Ad } u_1(x)| &< \epsilon', & x \in \mathcal{F}', \\ \|\text{Ad } u_t(x) - x\| &< \epsilon, & x \in \mathcal{F}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Given $(\mathcal{F}, \omega, \epsilon)$, choose $(\mathcal{G}, \delta)$ as in Property 1.2. Let φ be a pure state of A such that $\ker \pi_\varphi = \ker \pi_\omega$ and

$$|\varphi(x) - \omega(x)| < \delta/2, \quad x \in \mathcal{G}.$$

Let $\mathcal{F}'$ be a finite subset of A and $\epsilon' > 0$ with $\epsilon' < \delta/2$. We can mimic φ as a vector state through π_ω ; by Kadison's transitivity there is a $v \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ such that

$$|\varphi(x) - \omega \text{Ad } v(x)| < \epsilon', \quad x \in \mathcal{F}' \cup \mathcal{G},$$

(see 2.3 of [3]). Since $|\omega \text{Ad } v(x) - \omega(x)| < \delta$, $x \in \mathcal{G}$, we have, by applying Property 1.2 to the pair ω and $\omega \text{Ad } v$, a continuous path (u_t) in $\mathcal{U}(A)$ such that $u_0 = 1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega \text{Ad } v &= \omega \text{Ad } u_1, \\ \|\text{Ad } u_t(x) - x\| &< \epsilon, \quad x \in \mathcal{F}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\varphi(x) - \omega \text{Ad } u_1(x)| < \epsilon'$, $x \in \mathcal{F}'$, this completes the proof. $\square$

We shall now turn to the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Once we have Lemma 2.2, we can prove this in the same way as 2.5 of [3]. We shall only give an outline here.

Let ω_1 and ω_2 be pure states of A such that $\ker \pi_{\omega_1} = \ker \pi_{\omega_2}$.

If $\pi_{\omega_1}(A) \cap \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\omega_1}) \neq (0)$, then $\pi_{\omega_1}(A) \supset \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\omega_1})$ and π_{ω_1} is equivalent to π_{ω_2} . Then by Kadison's transitivity (see, e.g., 1.21.16 of [12]), there is a continuous path (u_t) in $\mathcal{U}(A)$ such that $u_0 = 1$ and $\omega_1 = \omega_2 \text{Ad } u_1$.

Suppose that $\pi_{\omega_1}(A) \cap \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\omega_1}) = (0)$, which also implies that $\pi_{\omega_2}(A) \cap \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\omega_2}) = (0)$.

Let (x_n) be a dense sequence in A .

Let $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{x_1\}$ and $\epsilon > 0$ (or $\epsilon = 1$). Let $(\mathcal{G}_1, \delta_1)$ be the $(\mathcal{G}, \delta)$ for $(\mathcal{F}_1, \omega_1, \epsilon/2)$ as in Lemma 2.2 such that $\mathcal{G}_1 \supset \mathcal{F}_1$. For this $(\mathcal{G}_1, \delta_1)$ we choose a continuous path (u_{1t}) in $\mathcal{U}(A)$ such that $u_{1,0} = 1$ and

$$|\omega_1(x) - \omega_2 \text{Ad } u_{1,1}(x)| < \delta_1, \quad x \in \mathcal{G}_1.$$

Let $\mathcal{F}_2 = \{x_i, \text{Ad } u_{1,1}^*(x_i) \mid i = 1, 2\}$ and let $(\mathcal{G}_2, \delta_2)$ be the $(\mathcal{G}, \delta)$ for $(\mathcal{F}_2, \omega_2 \text{Ad } u_{1,1}, 2^{-2}\epsilon)$ as in Lemma 2.2 such that $\mathcal{G}_2 \supset \mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2$ and $\delta_2 < \delta_1$. By 2.2 there is a continuous path (u_{2t}) in $\mathcal{U}(A)$ such that $u_{2,0} = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\text{Ad } u_{2t}(x) - x\| &< 2^{-1}\epsilon, & x \in \mathcal{F}_1, \\ |\omega_2 \text{Ad } u_{1,1}(x) - \omega_1 \text{Ad } u_{2,1}(x)| &< \delta_2, & x \in \mathcal{G}_2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{F}_3 = \{x_i, \text{Ad } u_{2,1}^*(x_i) \mid i = 1, 2, 3\}$ and let $(\mathcal{G}_3, \delta_3)$ be the $(\mathcal{G}, \delta)$ for $(\mathcal{F}_3, \omega_1 \text{Ad } u_{2,1}, 2^{-3}\epsilon)$ as in 2.2 such that $\mathcal{G}_3 \supset \mathcal{G}_2 \cup \mathcal{F}_3$ and $\delta_3 < \delta_2$. By 2.2 there is a continuous path (u_{3t}) in $\mathcal{U}(A)$ such that $u_{3,0} = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\text{Ad } u_{3t}(x) - x\| &< 2^{-2}\epsilon, & x \in \mathcal{F}_2, \\ |\omega_1 \text{Ad } u_{2,1}(x) - \omega_2 \text{Ad } (u_{1,1} u_{3,1})(x)| &< \delta_3, & x \in \mathcal{G}_3. \end{aligned}$$

We shall repeat this process.

Assume that we have constructed $\mathcal{F}_n, \mathcal{G}_n, \delta_n$, and $(u_{n,t})$ inductively. In particular if n is even, $\mathcal{F}_n$ is given as

$$\{x_i, \text{Ad}(u_{n-1,1}^* u_{n-3,1}^* \cdots u_{1,1}^*)(x_i) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

and $(\mathcal{G}_n, \delta_n)$ is the $(\mathcal{G}, \delta)$ for $(\mathcal{F}_n, \omega_2 \text{Ad}(u_{1,1} u_{3,1} \cdots u_{n-1,1}), 2^{-n}\epsilon)$ as in 2.2 such that $\mathcal{G}_n \supset \mathcal{G}_{n-1} \cup \mathcal{F}_n$ and $\delta_n < \delta_{n-1}$. And $(u_{n,t})$ is given by 2.2 for $(\mathcal{F}_{n-1}, \omega_1 \text{Ad}(u_{2,1} \cdots u_{n-2,1}), 2^{-n+1}\epsilon)$ and for $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{G}_n$ and $\epsilon' = \delta_n$ and it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \|\text{Ad } u_{nt}(x) - x\| &< 2^{-n+1}\epsilon, \quad x \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1}, \\ |\omega_1 \text{Ad}(u_{2,1} u_{4,1} \cdots u_{n,1})(x) - \omega_2 \text{Ad}(u_{1,1} u_{3,1} \cdots u_{n-1,1})(x)| &< \delta_n, \quad x \in \mathcal{G}_n. \end{aligned}$$

We define continuous paths (v_t) and (w_t) in $\mathcal{U}(A)$ with $t \in [0, \infty)$ by: For $t \in [n, n+1]$

$$\begin{aligned} v_t &= u_{1,1} u_{3,1} \cdots u_{2n-1,1} u_{2n+1,t-n}, \\ w_t &= u_{2,1} u_{4,1} \cdots u_{2n-2,1} u_{2n+2,t-n}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, since $\|\text{Ad } u_{nt}(x) - x\| < 2^{-n+1}\epsilon$, $x \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1}$, we can show that $\text{Ad } v_t$ (resp. $\text{Ad } w_t$) converges to an automorphism α (resp. β) as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and that $\omega_1 \beta = \omega_2 \alpha$. Since $\alpha, \beta \in \text{AInn}_0(A)$ and $\text{AInn}_0(A)$ is a group, this will complete the proof. See the proofs of 2.5 and 2.8 of [3] for details.

Remark 2.3 Let A be a factor of type II_1 or type III with separable predual A_* , which is a unital simple non-separable non-nuclear C^* -algebra. Then the pure state space of A is not homogeneous under the action of the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(A)$ of A .

This is shown as follows. Since A contains a C^* -subalgebra isomorphic to $C_b(\mathbf{N}) \equiv C(\beta\mathbf{N})$ and $\beta\mathbf{N}$ has cardinality 2^c , the pure state space of A has cardinality (at least) 2^c , where c denotes the cardinality of the continuum. (We owe this argument to J. Anderson.) On the other hand any $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A)$ corresponds to an isometry on the predual A_* , a separable Banach space. Thus, since the set of bounded operators on a separable Banach space has cardinality c , $\text{Aut}(A)$ has cardinality (at most) c . Hence the pure state space of A cannot be homogeneous under the action of $\text{Aut}(A)$.

3 1.3 implies 1.2

Theorem 3.1 *Any C^* -algebra with Property 1.3 has Property 1.2.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a finite subset of A , ω a pure state of A with $\pi_\omega(A) \cap \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\omega) = (0)$, and $\epsilon > 0$. For $\pi = \pi_\omega$ and the projection E onto the subspace $\mathbf{C}\Omega_\omega$, we choose an $x \in M_{1n}(A)$ for some n as in Property 1.3, i.e., $\|x\| \leq 1$, $\pi(xx^*)\Omega_\omega = \Omega_\omega$ with $\Omega = \Omega_\omega$, and $\|\text{ad } a \text{ Ad } x\| < \epsilon$ for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$.

Let

$$\mathcal{G} = \{x_i x_j^* \mid i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n\},$$

which will be the subset $\mathcal{G}$ required in Property 1.2. We will choose $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small later. Suppose that we are given a unit vector $\eta \in \mathcal{H}_\omega$ satisfying

$$|\langle \pi(x_i^*)\eta, \pi(x_j^*)\eta \rangle - \langle \pi(x_i^*)\Omega, \pi(x_j^*)\Omega \rangle| < \delta$$

for any $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, where $\Omega = \Omega_\omega$. Note that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \|\pi(x_j^*)\Omega\|^2 = \langle \pi(xx^*)\Omega, \Omega \rangle = 1,$$

which implies, in particular, that $|\langle \pi(xx^*)\eta, \eta \rangle - 1| < n\delta$. Thus the two finite sets of vectors $S_\Omega = \{\pi(x_i^*)\Omega \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$ and $S_\eta = \{\pi(x_i^*)\eta \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$ have similar geometric properties in $\mathcal{H}_\omega$ if δ is sufficiently small. Hence we are in a situation where we can apply 3.3 of [3].

Let us describe how we proceed from here in a simplified case. Suppose that the linear span $\mathcal{L}_\Omega$ of S_Ω is orthogonal to the linear span $\mathcal{L}_\eta$ of S_η and that the map $\pi(x_i^*)\Omega \mapsto \pi(x_i^*)\eta$ and $\pi(x_i^*)\eta \mapsto \pi(x_i^*)\Omega$ extends to a unitary U on $\mathcal{L}_\Omega + \mathcal{L}_\eta$; in particular we have assumed that $\langle \pi(x_i^*)\eta, \pi(x_j^*)\eta \rangle = \langle \pi(x_i^*)\Omega, \pi(x_j^*)\Omega \rangle$ for all i, j . Since U is a self-adjoint unitary, $F \equiv (1 - U)/2$ is a projection and satisfies that $e^{i\pi F} = U$ on the finite-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{L}_\Omega + \mathcal{L}_\eta$. By Kadison's transitivity we choose an $h \in A$ such that $0 \leq h \leq 1$ and $\pi(h)|\mathcal{L}_\Omega + \mathcal{L}_\eta = F$. We set $\bar{h} = \text{Ad } x(h)$, which entails that $\|[a, \bar{h}]\| < \epsilon$, $a \in \mathcal{F}$. Then we

have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(\bar{h})(\Omega - \eta) &= \pi(xhx^*)(\Omega - \eta) \\
&= \sum \pi(x_i)F\pi(x_i^*)(\Omega - \eta), \\
&= \sum \pi(x_i)\pi(x_i^*)(\Omega - \eta) \\
&= \Omega - \eta
\end{aligned}$$

and that $\pi(\bar{h})(\Omega + \eta) = 0$. Hence it follows that

$$\pi(e^{i\pi\bar{h}})\Omega = \pi(e^{i\pi\bar{h}})(\Omega - \eta)/2 + \pi(e^{i\pi\bar{h}})(\Omega + \eta)/2 = -(\Omega - \eta)/2 + (\Omega + \eta)/2 = \eta.$$

Thus the path $(e^{it\pi\bar{h}})_{t \in [0,1]}$ is what is desired.

Whenever $\mathcal{L}_\Omega$ is orthogonal to $\mathcal{L}_\eta$, this argument can be made rigorous if $\delta > 0$ is sufficiently small. See [3] for details.

If $\mathcal{L}_\eta$ is not orthogonal to $\mathcal{L}_\Omega$, we still find a unit vector $\zeta \in \mathcal{H}_\omega$ such that

$$|\langle \pi(x_i^*)\zeta, \pi(x_j^*)\zeta \rangle - \langle \pi(x_i^*)\Omega, \pi(x_j^*)\Omega \rangle| < \delta$$

and such that $\mathcal{L}_\zeta$ is orthogonal to both $\mathcal{L}_\Omega$ and $\mathcal{L}_\eta$. Here we use the assumption that $\pi_\omega(A) \cap \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\omega) = (0)$. Then we combine the path of unitaries sending η to ζ and then the path sending ζ to Ω to obtain the desired path. $\square$

4 Property 1.3 is universal

Let $\text{Bil}(A)$ denote the bounded bilinear forms on a C^* -algebra A . We have a canonical isometric identification of $\text{Bil}(A)$ with $(A \widehat{\otimes} A)^*$, which is given by

$$\langle V, a \otimes b \rangle = V(a, b).$$

Here $A \widehat{\otimes} A$ is the completion of the algebraic tensor product $A \otimes A$ equipped with the projective tensor norm:

$$\|S\|_\wedge = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\| \|y_i\| \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken all over the possible representations $S = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i$. For $a \in A$ the bounded linear maps L_a and R_a on $A \widehat{\otimes} A$ are defined by

$$L_a(x \otimes y) = ax \otimes y \quad \text{and} \quad R_a(x \otimes y) = x \otimes ya$$

and the bounded linear map $p: A \widehat{\otimes} A \rightarrow A$ is defined by

$$p(x \otimes y) = xy.$$

If $\mathcal{M}$ is a von Neumann algebra, $\text{Bil}_\sigma(\mathcal{M})$ denotes the subspace of $\text{Bil}(\mathcal{M})$ consisting of separately σ -weakly continuous forms on $\mathcal{M}$. For $a \in \mathcal{M}$, the dual maps $(L_a)^*$ and $(R_a)^*$ leave $\text{Bil}_\sigma(\mathcal{M})$ invariant. We define a contraction $\varphi : \text{Bil}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\mathcal{M}_1)$ by $\varphi(V)(a) = V(a^*, a)$, where $\mathcal{M}_1$ is the unit ball of $\mathcal{M}$.

We rely on the following result [5]:

Theorem 4.1 (*Haagerup*) *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an injective von Neumann algebra. Then there exists a mean m on the (discrete) semigroup $I(\mathcal{M})$ of isometries in $\mathcal{M}$ which is invariant in the sense that*

$$m(\varphi(L_a^*V)|I(\mathcal{M})) = m(\varphi(R_a^*V)|I(\mathcal{M}))$$

for all $V \in \text{Bil}_\sigma(\mathcal{M})$ and all $a \in \mathcal{M}$.

By using the above result and the proof of 3.1 of [5] we prove:

Lemma 4.2 *Let $\pi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a non-degenerate representation of a C^* -algebra A . If $\pi(A)''$ is injective, then there exists a net $\{T_\lambda\}_\lambda$ in $A \otimes A$ such that*

1. *the net $\{T_\lambda\}$ is in the convex hull of $\{x \otimes x^* \mid x \in A, \|x\| \leq 1\}$,*
2. *$\lim_\lambda \|L_a T_\lambda - R_a T_\lambda\|_\wedge = 0$ for any $a \in A$,*
3. *$\pi(p(T_\lambda)) \rightarrow 1$ σ -weakly in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.*

Proof. What is shown as Theorem 3.1 in [5] is the above statement (or more precisely the statement on ω below) for a nuclear C^* -algebra A and its universal representation π . But the proof there depends only on the fact that $\mathcal{M} = \pi(A)''$ is injective. We shall just give an outline of the proof here.

Let e denote the central projection in A^{**} corresponding to π ; we shall identify $\mathcal{M}$ with $A^{**}e$.

By using the fact that $V \in \text{Bil}(A)$ uniquely extends to $\tilde{V} \in \text{Bil}_\sigma(A^{**})$ [10], we define an $\omega \in (A \widehat{\otimes} A)^{**} \cong \text{Bil}(A)^*$ by

$$\omega(V) = m(\varphi(\tilde{V})|I(\mathcal{M})),$$

where m is an *invariant* mean on $I(\mathcal{M})$ as in the above theorem. We then assert that

1. ω is in the weak*-closed convex hull of $\{x \otimes x^* \mid x \in A, \|x\| \leq 1\}$,
2. $L_a^{**}\omega = R_a^{**}\omega$ for any $a \in A$,
3. $p^{**}(\omega) = e$ in A^{**} ,

Property 1 follows by the Hahn-Banach separation argument using the crucial fact that $\tilde{V}$ is jointly σ -strong* continuous [6]. Property 2 reflects the invariance of m in the above theorem: $(L_a^{**}\omega)(V) = \omega(L_a^*V) = m(\varphi(L_a^*\tilde{V})|I(\mathcal{M})) = m(\varphi(L_{ae}^*(\tilde{V}|\mathcal{M})|I(\mathcal{M}))) = m(\varphi(R_{ge}^*(\tilde{V}|\mathcal{M})|I(\mathcal{M})))$, which is equal to $(R_a^{**}\omega)(V)$, for all $V \in \text{Bil}(A)$ and $a \in A$, where $\tilde{V}|\mathcal{M} \in \text{Bil}_\sigma(\mathcal{M})$ is the restriction of $\tilde{V}$. Since $(p^*f)\tilde{} = p^*f$ and $\varphi(p^*f)(a) = f(a^*a)$ for $f \in A^*$, Property 3 follows from: $p^{**}(\omega)(f) = \omega(p^*f) = f(e)$.

Now, we may find a net $\{T_\lambda\}$ in the convex hull of $\{x \otimes x^* \mid x \in A, \|x\| \leq 1\}$ such that T_λ weak*-converges to ω in $(A \hat{\otimes} A)^{**}$. It follows that $p(T_\lambda)$ weak*-converges to e in A^{**} . Since for any $a \in A$, $L_a T_\lambda - R_a T_\lambda$ converges weakly to 0 in $A \hat{\otimes} A$, we may assume

$$\lim_\lambda \|L_a T_\lambda - R_a T_\lambda\|_\wedge = 0$$

by convexity. □

By applying the above lemma to an irreducible representation π on $\mathcal{H}$, a finite-dimensional projection E on $\mathcal{H}$, and $\epsilon > 0$, we obtain a sequence $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ in A such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2 \leq 1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_i a x_i \otimes x_i^* - \sum_i x_i \otimes x_i^* a \right\|_\wedge &< \epsilon, \quad a \in \mathcal{F}, \\ \left\| \pi\left(\sum_i x_i x_i^*\right) E - E \right\| &< \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

By using Kadison's transitivity, we find a $b \in A$ (or $A + \mathbf{C}1$) such that $b \approx 1$, $\|yy^*\| \leq 1$, and $\pi(yy^*)E = E$, where $y = (bx_1, bx_2, \dots, bx_n) \in M_{1n}(A)$. Since there is a contraction ψ of $A \hat{\otimes} A$ into $\mathcal{B}(A)$, which is defined by $\psi(a \otimes b)(x) = axb$, we obtain:

Theorem 4.3 *Any C^* -algebra has Property 1.3.*

References

- [1] O. Bratteli, Inductive limits of finite-dimensional C^* -algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 171 (1972), 195–234.
- [2] E.G. Effros, On the structure theory of C^* -algebras: some old and new problems, in: Proceedings of symposia in pure mathematics 38 (1982) part 1, edited by R.V. Kadison, pages 19–34.
- [3] H. Futamura, N. Kataoka, and A. Kishimoto, Homogeneity of the pure state space for separable C^* -algebras, to appear in Internat. J. Math.
- [4] H. Futamura, N. Kataoka, and A. Kishimoto, Type III representations and automorphisms of some separable nuclear C^* -algebras, in preparation.
- [5] U. Haagerup, All nuclear C^* -algebras are amenable, Invent. Math. 74 (1983), 305–319.

- [6] U. Haagerup, The Grothendieck inequality for bilinear forms on C^* -algebras, Adv. in Math. 56 (1985), 93–116.
- [7] E. Kirchberg and N.C. Phillips, Embedding of exact C^* -algebras and continuous fields in the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_2$, J. reine angew. Math. 525 (2000), 55–94.
- [8] A. Kishimoto, Approximately inner flows on separable C^* -algebras, preprint.
- [9] A. Kishimoto and A. Kumjian, The Ext class of approximately inner automorphisms, II, to appear in J. Operator Theory.
- [10] B.E. Johnson, R.V. Kadison, and J.R. Ringrose, Cohomology of operator algebras III. Reduction to normal cohomology, Bull. Soc. Math. France 100 (1972), 73–96.
- [11] R.T. Powers, Representations of uniformly hyperfinite algebras and their associated von Neumann rings, Ann. of Math. 86 (1967), 138–171.
- [12] S. Sakai, *C^* -algebras and W^* -algebras*, Classics in Math., Springer, 1998.

Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan 060-0810
 Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan 153-8914
 5-1-6-205, Odawara, Aoba-ku, Sendai, Japan 980-0003